

Pulse Replicated On-Farm Independent Trials

Lentil Seeding Rate Trial

A common seeding practice of small red and large green lentil is a flat seed rate of 60 lbs/ac (1 bu/ac) and 90–95 lbs/ac (1.5–1.6 bu/ac), respectively. While this conventional seeding rate has successfully produced high-yielding lentil crops, a more precise approach is to target an optimal plant stand and adjust seeding rate according to seed size (thousand kernel weight, TKW) and seedling survivability. A target lentil population of 12 plants/sq ft is generally recommended but research has shown that populations up to 22 plants/sq ft can provide the highest yield.

Objective

To evaluate seeding rate of small red or large green lentil including comparisons of seedling survivability and yield in response to plant population across various landscape positions.

Treatments

Seeding rates varied by site, but generally targeted three plant populations:

- Standard: 12 plants/sq ft
- High: 18 plants/sq ft
- Very High: 24 plants/sq ft

Seeding rates were determined precisely using the TKW and germination rate of each seed lot as indicated by a seed quality test at each site.

Trials were set up in randomized strips with four replicates, for a total of 12 plots.

Methodology

- Apart from seeding rates, all plots were managed the same agronomically.
- To evaluate the influence of variable topography on plant populations, sections of plots could be further identified by landscape position (knoll, mid-slope, and depression), and data collected separately within these subplots.
- Yield was determined for each plot separately by weighing with a weigh wagon or grain cart with scale.
- Grain samples were collected from each plot separately for quality analysis.

Data Collection

- Seed test
- Soil test
- In-season plant density, by landscape position within plots, if applicable
- Field history and management practices
- Yield by plot (corrected for moisture content at harvest)
- General in-season observations such as weed competition, disease susceptibility, standability, and maturity
- Weather data
- Beneficial but not required:
 - o As-applied files for seeding rates within the trial area.
 - o Digital map layer identifying topography / landscape position (knoll, mid-slope, depression) within the trial area.
 - o Digital yield data.





Lentil Seeding Rate: Results Summary

Data from all sites was combined to assess the overall effect of seeding rate on seedling survivability across landscape positions, and on yield and grain quality of small red and large green lentils.

Overall, plant density and seedling mortality did not differ significantly by landscape position at the five sites where this was assessed (not shown). However, plant density and seedling mortality both significantly increased with seeding rates across all sites (P<0.01). Across sites, yield decreased significantly with higher seeding rates (P<0.05), but protein and seed size were not affected (not shown). Thus, the additional seed cost was not economical overall^(4,5).



The effect of lentil seeding rate on plant density, seedling mortality, and yield at all sites overall.

Individual site reports are provided in the following pages to indicate the variability in management, environmental conditions, yield potential, and responses to seeding rates across landscape positions that was observed across trial sites this growing season.

The following footnotes will also be referred to in the individual site reports for this protocol:

- 1. Yields were adjusted to 13% seed moisture content
- 2. SE is the standard error which is in the same unit as the measurement and indicates the level of variability or uncertainty in the data.
- 3. Linear regression was used to assess the relationship between seeding rate and the response variables, thus the P-value indicates the likelihood that a change in the response variable with increased seeding rate is significantly different than zero:
 - P < 0.01 = Very likely that seeding rate affected the response variable (***)
 - P < 0.05 = Likely that the seeding rate affected the response variable (**)
 - P < 0.1 = Possible that the seeding rate affected the response variable (*)
 - P > 0.1 = Not likely that the seeding rate affected the response variable (not significant)
- 4. Seed cost of \$0.53 per lb. for small red lentils and \$0.72 for large green lentils was calculated from values provided in the Saskatchewan Ministry of Agriculture's 2023 Crop Planning Guide and includes the cost of seed treatment and inoculant.
- 5. Grain price of \$34.76 per cwt for small red lentils and \$57.40 for large green lentils is an average of weekly prices in 2023 as reported on the Government of Saskatchewan website.
- P-value (Seed Rate) indicates the likelihood of a difference resulting from seeding rate only;
 P-value (Landscape Position) indicates the likelihood of a difference resulting from landscape position only;
 P-value (SR x LP) indicates the likelihood of seeding rates having differing effects on plant density and seedling mortality depending on landscape position.







Lentil Seeding Rate (Cabri)

Objective: To evaluate the effect of seeding rate on seedling survivability, yield, and grain quality of small red lentils.

	Treatments:	
Treatment No.	Target plant population (plants ft ⁻²)	Actual seeding rate (lbs. ac ⁻¹)
1	13	60
2	20	89
3	26	119

General Trial Inform	mation:								
Variety	CDC Proclaim Clearfield® (CL)	Weath	ner: <i>En</i>	vironme	ent Canada – L	.eader A	Airport	25	
Thousand kernel weight	36.12 g		90					25	
Germination	85%	-	80					20	
Seed treatment	None	mm)	70	-					()°)
Inoculant	Nodulator XL Liquid®	tion	60 50					15	ature
Previous crop	Durum wheat	ipitat	40					10	pera
Soil organic matter	4.3%	Preci	30	-					Tem
Residual Nitrate-N (0-6")	9 lbs ac ⁻¹		20					5	
Soil type & Texture	Brown Chernozem, heavy clay		0 —	May	lupe	luly	August	0	
Seeding date	May 11			IVIDY	June	July	August		
Seeding implement & openers	0.75 in. shank								
Seeding depth	1.75 in.								
Seeding speed	4.8 mph								
Row spacing	10 in.								
Total applied fertilizer	4 N - 18 P - 3 K - 0 S actual - Seed-placed	lbs. ac⁻¹							
Crop protection	May 5: Advantage 540 June 8: Sencor®	+ MCPA	+ Aim	June July	e 16: Antler [®] 20: Coragen [®]				

Results:							
Target plant population (plant ft ⁻²⁾	Plant density (plants ft ⁻²)	Seedling mortality (%)	Yield ⁽¹⁾ (bu ac ⁻¹)	Protein (%)	Seed size (g 1000 seeds ⁻¹)		
13	14.4	3.3	29.3	24.9	42.7		
20	20.2	7.1	28.8	25.0	42.3		
26	26.0	11.0	28.4	25.1	42.0		
SE ⁽²⁾	± 1.4	± 5.7	± 1.9	± 0.6	± 0.5		
P-value (3,4)	<0.001***	0.065*	0.368	0.692	0.047**		



Increased seeding rate resulted in significantly higher plant populations (P<0.001), even though seedling mortality may have been higher (P<0.1). Yield and protein were not significantly affected by differences in plant populations, but seed size decreased significantly with seeding rate (P<0.05). The lowest seeding rate was the most economical.



Economics:

The effect of seeding rate on yield was not significant, thus the yield difference between treatments is zero. Net profit declined with increased seeding rate.

Target plant population (plant ft ⁻²)	Seeding rate	Seed cost ⁽⁴⁾	Yield	Grain profit (5)	Net profit
13	-	-	-	-	\$0.00
20	+ 29 lbs.	- \$15.37	+ 0 bu	+ \$0	(- \$15.37)
26	+ 59 lbs.	- \$31.27	+ 0 bu	+ \$0	(- \$31.27)





There were visual differences in emergence and vegetation index between treatments.



To review footnote references please refer to overall trial summary on page 57.







Lentil Seeding Rate (Gravelbourg)

Objective: To evaluate the effect of seeding rate on seedling survivability, yield, and grain quality of large green lentils.

	Treatments:	
Treatment No.	Target plant population (plants ft ⁻²)	Actual seeding rate (Ibs. ac ⁻¹)
1	12	97
2	18	146
3	24	195

Replicates: Four

General Trial Info	ormation:	Weather: Env
Variety	CDC Greenstar (Large Green)	100
Thousand kernel weight	72.1 g	90
Germination	95%	لللہ 10 10
Seed treatment	Vibrance Maxx®	u) u
Inoculant	TagTeam [®] BioniQ [®]	07 tatio
Previous crop	Durum wheat	
Soil organic matter	2.7%	20
Residual Nitrate-N (0-12")	24 lb ac ⁻¹	10
Soil type & Texture	Brown Chernozem,clay	0
Seeding date	May 17	
Seeding implement & openers	Bourgault 3320, 0.75 in. bourgault openers	6
Seeding depth	1.25 in.	
Seeding speed	4.5 mph	
Row spacing	10 in.	
Total applied fertilizer	5.5 N – 26 P actual lbs. ac	c ⁻¹ – seed-placed
Crop protection	Fall 2022: Fierce® EZ	JI

June 2: Sencor®

Veather: Environment Canada – Assiniboia Airport

June 28: Elatus® + Coragen®



Results:							
Target plant population (plant ft ²)	Plant density (plants ft ⁻²)	Seedling mortality (%)	Yield ⁽¹⁾ (bu ac ⁻¹)	Protein (%)	Seed size (g 1000 seeds ⁻¹)		
12	13.4	4.5	9.8	23.1	63.7		
18	16.7	15.2	8.4	22.9	63.8		
24	20.0	25.8	7.0	22.7	63.8		
SE ⁽²⁾	± 2.8	± 11.4	± 4.2	± 0.3	± 1.2		
P-value (3,4)	0.004***	0.001***	0.334	0.054*	0.900		



Increased seeding rate resulted in significantly higher plant populations (P<0.01) even though seedling mortality also significantly increased with seeding rate (P<0.01). Much of the trial area was affected by root rot due to early season moisture, and dry conditions in the remainder of the growing season resulted in low yield potential. Thus, yield and seed size were not significantly affected by seeding rate, but protein content may have been slightly lower at higher seeding rates (P<0.1). The lowest seeding rate was the most economical.



Economics:

The effect of seeding rate on yield was not significant, thus the yield difference between treatments is zero. Net profit declines with increased seeding rate.

Target plant population (plant ft ²)	Seeding rate	Seed cost ⁽⁴⁾	Yield	Grain profit (5)	Net profit
12	-	-	-	-	\$0.00
18	+ 49 lbs.	- \$35.28	+ 0 bu	+ \$0	(- \$35.28)
24	+ 98 lbs.	- \$70.56	+ 0 bu	+ \$0	(- \$70.56)



 (\bigstar) To review footnote references please refer to overall trial summary on page 57.







Lentil Seeding Rate (Indian Head)

Objective: To evaluate the effect of seeding rate on seedling survivability, yield, and grain quality of small red lentils.

	Treatments:	
Treatment No.	Target plant population (plants ft ⁻²)	Actual seeding rate (Ibs. ac ⁻¹)
1	9	42
2	13.5	63
3	18	84

Replicates: Four

General Trial Inf	ormation:							
Variety	CDC Proclaim (CL)	We	eather:	In-field we	eather star	tion		25
Thousand kernel weight	41.85 g		100					25
Germination	96%		80					20
Seed treatment	Vibrance Maxx® RFC + Intego®	(աա) ւ	70 60	/		-		ິ (ງ.) 15 ອ
Inoculant	Nodulator [®] + AGTIV [®] Peat	tior	50					atur
Previous crop	Canaryseed	pita	40					10 Ja
Soil organic matter	4.5%	reci	30					Tem
Residual Nitrate-N (0-6")	10 lbs ac ⁻¹	Δ.	20					5
Soil type & Texture	Black Chernozem, clay		10					
Seeding date	May 9		0	May	lune	luly	Διισμετ	- 0
Seeding implement & openers	Morris C2, Dutch universal opener			may	June	July	Magase	
Seeding depth	0.75 in.							
Seeding speed	4.5 mph							
Row spacing	12 in.							
Total applied fertilizer	10 N – 46 P – 30 K – 0 S lbs	. ac-1 a	actual,	all side-bar	nded			
Crop protection	Fall: Glyphosate + Fierce [®] + June 5: Odyssey Ultra [®] Q June 22: Dyax [®] + Arrow [®] July 3: Cotegra [®]	Expre	SS®					

Results:							
Target plant population (plant ft ²⁾	Plant density (plants ft ⁻²)	Seedling mortality (%)	Yield ⁽¹⁾ (bu ac ⁻¹)	Protein (%)	Seed size (g 1000 seeds ⁻¹)		
9	10.3	5.0	41.0	24.7	41.8		
13.5	12.7	15.1	40.3	23.9	42.2		
18	15.1	25.2	39.5	23.0	42.5		
SE ⁽²⁾	± 1.5	± 9.0	± 3.8	± 2.5	± 0.9		
P-value (3,4)	0.001***	0.006***	0.543	0.319	0.250		



Increased seeding rate resulted in significantly higher plant populations (P<0.01), even with increased seedling mortality (P<0.01). Yield, protein, and seed size were not significantly affected by differences in plant populations. The lowest seeding rate was the most economical.



Economics:

The effect of seeding rate on yield was not significant, thus the yield difference between treatments is zero. Net profit declined with increased seeding rate.

Target plant population (plant ft ⁻²)	Seeding rate	Seed cost (4)	Yield	Grain profit (5)	Net profit
9	-	-	-	-	\$0.00
13.5	+ 21 lbs.	- \$11.13	+ 0 bu	+ \$0	(- \$11.13)
18	+ 42 lbs.	- \$22.26	+ 0 bu	+ \$0	(- \$22.26)

Weed pressure was visibly higher with lower seeding rates:

Low rate	Mid rate	High rate
-2		

 (\bigstar) To review footnote references please refer to overall trial summary on page 57.







Lentil Seeding Rate (Kindersley)

Objective: To evaluate the effect of seeding rate on seedling survivability and yield of small red lentils.

	Treatments:	
Treatment No.	Target plant population (plants ft ⁻²)	Actual seeding rate (lbs. ac ⁻¹)
1	12	49
2	18	73
3	24	97

plicates: Four

General Trial Information:		Weather: Environment Canada – Kindersley Airport						
			100					25
Variety	CDC Maxim (CL)		90					
Thousand kernel weight	37.12 g	٦ آ	80					20
Germination	98%	im) (60	_				ູ້) 15 ອ
Seed treatment	Insure Pulse®	atior	50					ratuı
Inoculant	TagTeam Granular®	cipit	40					10 du
Previous crop	Barley	Pre	30 20					۳ 5
Soil organic matter	3.9%		10					
Residual Nitrate-N (0-6")	14 lbs ac ⁻¹		0	May	luno	luk	August	0
Soil type & Texture	Brown Chernozem, Variable texture - clay loar	m to hea	vy clay	Ividy	June	July	August	
Seeding date	May 17							
Seeding implement & openers	Bourgault, 0.75 in. knives							
Seeding depth	1.5 in.	1.5 in.						
Seeding speed	4.9 mph							
Row spacing	10 in.							
Total applied fertilizer	6 N – 20 P – 0 K – 5 S + 0	0.5 Zn lb	s ac-1	actual (50 lb	os ac⁻¹ ME	ESZ) All se	ed-placed	
Crop protection	Fall: Edge [®] May 15: Voraxor [®] + Glyph	osate		June 10: S June 22: D	olo® Ultra ecis®	ı Q®		

Results:						
Target plant population (plant ft ⁻²)	Plant density (plants ft ⁻²)	Seedling mortality (%)	Yield ⁽¹⁾ (bu ac ⁻¹)			
12	12.8	5.7	31.9			
18	17.0	13.6	31.7			
24	21.1	21.5	31.5			
SE ⁽²⁾	± 1.3	± 6.5	± 2.4			
P-value (3,4)	<0.001***	0.003***	0.696			



Increased seeding rate resulted in significantly higher plant populations (P<0.01), even though seedling mortality was also significantly higher (P<0.01). Yield was average overall and was not significantly affected by differences in plant populations. Thus, the lowest seeding rate was the most economical. Grain quality was not assessed at this site.



Economics:

The effect of seeding rate on yield was not significant, thus the yield difference between treatments is zero. Net profit declined with increased seeding rate.

Target plant population (plant ft ⁻²)	Seeding rate	Seed cost (4)	Yield	Grain profit (5)	Net profit
12	-	-	-	-	\$0.00
18	+ 24 lbs.	- \$12.72	+ 0 bu	+ \$0	(- \$12.72)
24	+ 48 lbs.	- \$25.44	+ 0 bu	+ \$0	(- \$25.44)



 (\bigstar) To review footnote references please refer to overall trial summary on page 57.







Lentil Seeding Rate (Lucky Lake)

Objective: To evaluate the effect of seeding rate on seedling survivability, yield, and grain quality of small red lentils.

	Treatments:	
Treatment No.	Target plant population (plants ft²)	Actual seeding rate (lbs. ac ⁻¹)
1	12	46
2	18	69
3	24	92

Replicates: Four

General Trial Ir	nformation:							
Variety	CDC Maxim (CL)	Wooth	oor E	nuironmon	+ Canada	Lucky Lak	(a)	
Thousand kernel weight	35.46 g	weati	100	inninnen	t Cunuuu -	- LUCKY LUK	.e	25
Germination	99%		90					25
Seed treatment	Vibrance Maxx® RFC		80					20
Inoculant	Agtiv [®] Fuel™ Liquid	(mm	70					(c)
Previous crop	Durum wheat	on (r	60	/				15 e.n
Soil organic matter	2.8%	tatic	50					erati
Residual Nitrate-N (0-6")	3 lb ac¹	ecipi	40					10 du
Soil type & Texture	Brown Chernozem, fine sandy loam	Pre	20					9 5
Seeding date	May 10		10					0
Seeding implement & openers	Flexicoil™ 5000, paired row		0	May	June	July	August	0
Seeding depth	1 in.							
Seeding speed	4.2 mph							
Row spacing	12 in.							
Total applied fertilizer	4.5 N – 23 P – 3.5 K – 0 S lb	s. ac⁻¹ ac	ctual					
Seed-placed fertilizer	None							
Crop protection	Pre-emerg: Goldwing [®] June + Glyphosate July	e 6: Dava 6: Elatus	ui [®] + A S [®]	ntler®				

66

Results:						
Target plant population	Plant density (plants ft²)	Seedling mortality (%)	Yield ⁽¹⁾ (bu ac ⁻¹)	Protein (%)	Seed size (g 1000 seeds ⁻¹)	
12	4.9	63.8	24.7	23.9	39.1	
18	6.2	68.1	22.8	24.0	39.0	
24	7.5	72.4	20.8	24.0	38.9	
SE ⁽²⁾	± 0.9	± 5.7	± 3.2	± 0.7	± 0.5	
P-value ^(3,4)	0.002***	0.040**	0.101	0.886	0.543	



Increased seeding rate resulted in significantly higher plant populations (P<0.01), even though seedling mortality was very high overall, and also increased with seeding rates (P<0.05). Yield, protein, and seed size were not significantly affected by differences in plant populations. The lowest seeding rate was the most economical.



Economics:

The effect of seeding rate on yield was not significant, thus the yield difference between treatments is zero. Net profit declined with increased seeding rate.

Target plant population (plant ft ⁻²)	Seeding rate	Seed cost (4)	Yield	Grain profit (5)	Net profit
12	-	-	-	-	\$0.00
18	+ 23 lbs.	- \$12.19	+ 0 bu	+ \$0	(- \$12.19)
24	+ 46 lbs.	- \$24.38	+ 0 bu	+ \$0	(- \$24.38)



 (\bigstar) To review footnote references please refer to overall trial summary on page 57.







Lentil Seeding Rate (Luseland)

Objective: To evaluate the effect of seeding rate on seedling survivability across landscape positions, and on yield and grain quality of small red lentils.

	Treatments:	
Treatment No.	Target plant population (plants ft^2)	Actual seeding rate (lbs. ac ⁻¹)
1	12	55
2	18	83
3	24	111
4	Variable Rate (VR)	55 (depression) 69 (midslope) 99 (knoll)

General Trial Information:		Weather: Local station for precip + Environment Canada						
Variety	CDC Proclaim (CL)	– Scot	tt CD/	A for temps				
Thousand kernel weight	42.28 g		100					25
Germination	98%		90					
Seed treatment	Insure Pulse®	Ē	80					20
Inoculant	Verdesian LIFT-kit™	(mr	70					0.)
Previous crop	Wheat	ion	60	-				15 ent
Soil organic matter	4.2%	iitat	50 40					10
Soil type & Texture	Dark Brown Chernozem, Various – Ioam, clay, heavy clay	Precip	40 30 20					10 dual
Seeding date	May 16		10					
Seeding depth	1.25 in.		0	May	lune	luly	August	0
Seeding speed	3.6 mph			way	Julie	July	August	
Row spacing	12 in.							
Total applied fertilizer	VR: Average 7 N – 27 P –	- 1 K – 0 S	S acti	ual Ibs. ac +	Mg			
Crop protection	May 13: Leopard [®] + J Revenge [®] + M-power [®] J	une 9: Ind uly 6: Spa	deper ade® ·	ndence® + A + Boron	ssassin II®	+ Alpine G	i22® + Ninja	®

Results:

Plant density assessments were completed separately for depression, mid-slope, and knoll areas within each of the four treatments to determine if the effect of seeding rate on plant population and seedling mortality differed by landscape position.

	Plant density	Seedling mortality
P-value (Seeding rate) (6)	<0.001 ***	0.017**
P-value (Landscape position)	0.750	0.874
P-value (SR x LP)	0.339	0.504



Plant density significantly increased with seeding rate (P<0.01), even though mortality also increased (P<0.05). Neither plant density nor mortality were affected by landscape position.

Target plant population (plant ft ²)	Yield ⁽¹⁾ (bu ac ⁻¹)	Protein (%)	Seed size (g 1000 seeds ⁻¹)
12	34.6	24.4	43.7
18	35.2	24.7	43.3
24	35.8	25.1	42.8
SE ⁽²⁾	± 5.4	± 0.6	± 0.5
P-value (3,4)	0.664	0.069*	0.012**

An average seeding rate was determined for the VR treatments and included in the yield and grain quality regression analyses.



Higher plant populations with increased seeding rates did not have a significant effect on yield. Thus, the lowest seeding rate was the most economical. Higher seeding rates negatively affected seed size (P<0.05) and may have resulted in increased protein content (P<0.1). Yield may also be differentiated by landscape position within seeding rate treatments using yield monitor data, but this analysis has not been completed at this time.



Economics:

The effect of seeding rate on yield was not significant, thus the yield difference between treatments is zero. Net profit declined with increased seeding rate.

Target plant population (plant ft ⁻²)	Seeding rate	Seed cost (4)	Yield	Grain profit (5)	Net profit
12	-	-	-	-	\$0.00
VR	+ 19 lbs.	\$10.07	+ 0 bu	+ \$0	(-\$10.07)
18	+ 28 lbs.	\$14.84	+ 0 bu	+ \$0	(-\$14.84)
24	+ 56 lbs.	\$29.68	+ 0 bu	+ \$0	(-\$29.68)



	Knoll	Mid-slope	Depression
Standard rate			
High rate			
Very high rate			
Variable rate			

There were visual differences in emergence and canopy closure with different seeding rates.

 (\bigstar) To review footnote references please refer to overall trial summary on page 57.







Lentil Seeding Rate (Major)

Objective: To evaluate the effect of seeding rate on seedling survivability across landscape positions, and on yield and grain quality of small red lentils.

	Treatments:			
Treatment No.	Target plant population (plants ft ⁻²)	Actual seeding rate (lbs. ac ⁻¹)		
1	12	55		
2	18	83		
3	24	110		
4	Variable Rate (VR)	55 (depression) 69 (midslope) 99 (knoll)		

General Trial I	nformation:	Weath
Variety	CDC Proclaim (CL)	– Kind
Thousand kernel weight	43 g	1
Germination	100%	
Seed treatment	None	(E
Inoculant	Nodulator Duo®	m) r
Previous crop	Barley	atior
Soil organic matter	3.6%	cipit
Soil type & Texture	Dark Brown Chernozem, heavy clay	Prec
Seeding date	May 27	
Seeding depth	1.25 in.	
Seeding speed	4 mph	
Row spacing	12 in.	
Total applied fertilizer	VR: Average 7 N – 33 P a	ctual lbs. ac-1
Crop protection	May 27: Glyphosate + Vor June 17: Davai® + Leopar	′axor® d®

Weather: Local station for precip + Environment Canada - Kindersley A for temps



Results:

Plant density assessments were completed separately for depression, mid-slope, and knoll areas within each of the four treatments to determine if the effect of seeding rate on plant population and seedling mortality differed by landscape position.

	Plant density	Seedling mortality
P-value (Seeding rate) (6)	<0.001***	0.039**
P-value (Landscape position)	0.769	0.268
P-value (SR x LP)	0.801	0.204



Plant density significantly increased with seeding rate (P<0.01), even though mortality also increased (P<0.05). Neither plant density no mortality were affected by landscape position.

Target plant population (plant ft ⁻²)	Yield ⁽¹⁾ (bu ac ⁻¹)	Protein (%)	Seed size (g 1000 seeds ⁻¹)
12	30.1	24.8	38.4
18	29.0	24.9	38.5
24	28.0	24.9	38.7
SE ⁽²⁾	± 2.5	± 0.6	± 0.4
P-value ^(3,4)	0.118	0.973	0.217

An average seeding rate was determined for the VR treatments and included in the yield and grain quality regression analyses.



Higher plant populations resulting from increased seeding rates did not have a significant effect on yield. Thus, the lowest seeding rate was the most economical. Higher seeding rates did not affect protein content or seed size. Yield may also be differentiated by landscape position within seeding rate treatments using yield monitor data, but this analysis has not been completed at this time.



Economics:

The effect of seeding rate on yield was not significant, thus the yield difference between treatments is zero. Net profit declined with increased seeding rate.

Target plant population (plant ft²)	Seeding rate	Seed cost (4)	Yield	Grain profit (5)	Net profit
12	-	-	-	-	\$0.00
VR	+ 19 lbs.	\$10.07	+ 0 bu	+ \$0	(-\$10.07)
18	+ 28 lbs.	\$14.84	+ 0 bu	+ \$0	(-\$14.84)
24	+ 55 lbs.	\$29.15	+ 0 bu	+ \$0	(-\$29.15)



Lentil stand under varying seeding rates, visual differences can be noted in canopy closure between treatments.



 (\bigstar) To review footnote references please refer to overall trial summary on page 57.







Lentil Seeding Rate (Marengo)

Objective: To evaluate the effect of seeding rate on seedling survivability, yield, and grain quality of small red lentils.

	Treatments:	
Treatment No.	Target plant population (plants ft ⁻²)	Actual seeding rate (lbs. ac ⁻¹)
1	12	52
2	18	78
3	24	104

Replicates: Four

25

20

10

5

0

Temperature (°C) 15

General Tria	al Information:)					
Variety	CDC Maxim (CL)						
Thousand kernel weight	40.24 g	Weath	ner: Env 100	vironment	Canada –	- Kindersle	уA
Germination	99%		90				
Seed treatment	Vibrance Maxx [®] RFC	Ē	80				
Inoculant	TagTeam [®] BioniQ [®] Granular	(mm	70	-			
Previous crop	Durum wheat	ion	60 50	8			
Soil organic matter	4.6%	oitat	40				
Residual Nitrate-N (0-6")	13 lbs ac ⁻¹	recip	30				
Soil type & Texture	Brown Chernozem, clay	4	20				
Seeding date	May 4		10				
Seeding implement & openers	Bourgault 3320 – 0.75 in. openers		0 —	May	June	July	Augu
Seeding depth	1.5 in.						
Seeding speed	4 mph						
Row spacing	10 in.						
Total applied fertilizer	20 N – 20 P – 0 K – 5 S lbs a	ac ⁻¹ actual	– all se	ed-placed			
Crop protection	April 29: Glyphosate + Aim [®] May 29: Imazomox + Clethoo June 23: Elatus [®] + Coragen [®]	dim					

Results:						
Target plant population (plant ft ²)	Plant density (plants ft²)	Seedling mortality (%)	Yield ⁽¹⁾ (bu ac ⁻¹)	Protein (%)	Seed size (g 1000 seeds ⁻¹)	
12	12.5	7.2	27.7	26.0	37.9	
18	19.0	6.1	27.0	25.1	38.3	
24	25.6	5.1	26.3	24.1	38.7	
SE ⁽²⁾	± 1.6	± 6.0	± 2.0	± 3.8	± 1.1	
P-value (3,4)	<0.001 ***	0.590	0.207	0.441	0.303	



Plant population increased significantly with seeding rate (P<0.01), and seedling mortality did not differ between seeding rates. Yield or grain quality were not significantly affected by the differences in plant populations. Thus, the lowest seeding rate was the most economical.



Economics:

The effect of seeding rate on yield was not significant, thus the yield difference between treatments is zero. Net profit declined with increased seeding rate.

Target plant population (plants ac-1)	Seeding rate	Seed cost (4)	Yield	Grain profit (5)	Net profit
12	-	-	-	-	\$0.00
18	+ 26 lbs.	- \$13.78	+ 0 bu	+ \$0	(- \$13.78)
24	+ 52 lbs.	- \$27.56	+ 0 bu	+ \$0	(- \$27.56)



 (\bigstar) To review footnote references please refer to overall trial summary on page 57.







Lentil Seeding Rate (Mendham)

Objective: To evaluate the effect of seeding rate on seedling survivability, yield, and grain quality of small red lentils.

	Treatments:	
Treatment No.	Target plant population (plants ft ⁻²)	Actual seeding rate (Ibs. ac ⁻¹)
1	18	60
2	27	90
3	36	120

Replicates: Four

General Trial Ir	nformation:					
Variety	CDC Maxim (CL)	Weath	ner: Er	nvironmen	t Canada –	Lead
Thousand kernel weight	30.7 g		100			
Germination	99%		90			
Seed treatment	None	Ê	80 70			
Inoculant	LALFIX [®] Spherical	۳ س	60			
Previous crop	Durum wheat	atior	50			
Soil organic matter	2.4%	cipita	40			
Residual Nitrate-N (0-6")	16 lbs. ac ⁻¹	Prec	30			
Soil type & Texture	Brown chernozem, silty loam		20 10			
Seeding date	May 19		0	May	lune	Iu
Seeding implement & openers	Disc			way	June	50
Seeding depth	2 in.					
Seeding speed	6.7 mph					
Row spacing	10 in.					
Total applied fertilizer	4.6 N – 16.5 P – 3.2 K – 9).6 S + 7.3	Ca act	ual Ibs. ac	⁻¹ – Seed-p	laced
Crop protection	May 18: Glyphosate + Ain	1 [®]				

May 31: Insecticide

onment Canada – Leader Airport



		Results:			
Target plant population (plant ft ²)	Plant density (plants ft ^{.2})	Seedling mortality (%)	Yield ⁽¹⁾ (bu ac ⁻¹)	Protein (%)	Seed size (g 1000 seeds ⁻¹)
18	10.9	46.3	7.6	24.5	38.2
27	16.0	46.8	6.2	24.4	38.2
36	21.2	47.2	4.8	24.2	38.2
SE ⁽²⁾	± 2.6	± 7.5	± 2.7	± 0.6	± 0.5
<i>P-value</i> ^(3,4)	<0.001***	0.795	0.148	0.402	0.936



Increased seeding rate resulted in significantly higher plant populations (P<0.01). Seedling mortality was very high overall and did not differ significantly with seeding rate. Dry conditions throughout the growing season resulted in low yield potential. Thus, yield and grain quality were not significantly affected by seeding rate. The lowest seeding rate was the most economical.



Economics:

The effect of seeding rate on yield was not significant, thus the yield difference between treatments is zero. Net profit declined with increased seeding rate.

Target plant population (plants ac ⁻¹)	Seeding rate	Seed cost ⁽⁴⁾	Yield	Grain profit (5)	Net profit
18	-	-	-	-	\$0.00
27	+ 30 lbs.	- \$15.90	+ 0 bu	+ \$0	(- \$15.90)
36	+ 60 lbs.	- \$31.80	+ 0 bu	+ \$0	(- \$31.80)





Visual differences in maturity with different seeding rates.

NDVI Imagery taken June 19.



To review footnote references please refer to overall trial summary on page 57.







Lentil Seeding Rate (Milden 1)

Objective: To evaluate the effect of seeding rate on seedling survivability across landscape positions, and on yield and grain quality of small red lentils.

	Treatments:	
Treatment No.	Target plant population (plants ft ⁻²)	Actual seeding rate (lbs. ac ⁻¹)
1	12	54
2	18	81
3	24	108

General Trial Information:			er: E	nvironmen	t Canada –
Variety	CDC Maxim (CL)		100		
Thousand kernel weight	37.78 g		90		
Germination	90%	-	80		
Seed treatment	Vitaflo™	mm)	70		
Inoculant	Xite Bio™	ion	60		
Previous crop	Wheat	oitat	40		
Soil organic matter	3.9%	recip	30		
Residual Nitrate-N (0-6")	76 lbs. ac ⁻¹	P	20		
Soil type & Texture	Dark Brown Chernozem, Ioam / clay Ioam		10 0		
Seeding date	May 13			May	June
Seeding implement & openers	John Deere 1830 single shoot air drill				
Seeding depth	1 in.				
Seeding speed	4.5 mph				
Row spacing	12 in.				
Total applied fertilizer	5 N – 16 P – 0 K – 4 S + 0).4 Zn a	ctual	lbs. ac⁻¹ – s	eed-placed
	May 11: Voraxor® Complet	te + Glyp	ohosa	ite	

Silencer®

June 12: Davai®+ Antler® Unpacked

: Environment Canada – Rosetown East



Replicates: Four

Crop protection

Results:

Plant density assessments were completed separately for depression, mid-slope, and knoll areas within each of the four treatments to determine if the effect of seeding rate on plant population and seedling mortality differed by landscape position.

	Plant density	Seedling mortality
P-value (Seeding rate) (6)	<0.001 ***	0.055*
P-value (Landscape position)	0.393	0.638
P-value (SR x LP)	0.133	0.256



Seeding rate significantly increased plant populations overall (P<0.01) but did not differ significantly by landscape position. Error bars indicate the standard error.

Target plant population (plant ft ⁻²)	Yield ⁽¹⁾ (bu ac ⁻¹)	Protein (%)	Seed size (g 1000 seeds ⁻¹)
12	16.8	21.9	33.5
18	18.3	22.7	33.7
24	19.9	23.9	33.8
SE ⁽²⁾	± 1.4	± 0.9	± 0.5
<i>P-value</i> ^(3,4)	0.006***	0.013**	0.450



Plant populations increased significantly with seeding rates (P<0.01), even though seedling mortality may also have been higher as a result of increased seeding rates (P<0.1, not shown). Neither plant density or mortality varied significantly with landscape position. Yield and protein both increased with seeding rates, so the higher seeding rates were economically beneficial. Seed size did not differ between seeding rates.



Economics:

Seeding rate had a positive effect on yield. The increase in grain profit was more than the additional seed cost, thus net profit increased with higher seeding rates.

Target plant population (plants ac ⁻¹)	Seeding rate	Seed cost (4)	Yield	Grain profit (5)	Net profit
12	-	-	-	-	\$0.00
18	+ 27 lbs.	- \$14.31	+ 1.5 bu	\$31.28	\$16.97
24	+ 54 lbs.	- \$28.62	+ 3.1 bu	\$64.65	\$36.03





Lentil emergence on rows seeded at 54 lbs/ac (left) and 81 lbs/ac (right).



Visual differences in plant development in response to seeding rate.

 (\bigstar) To review footnote references please refer to overall trial summary on page 57.







Lentil Seeding Rate (Milden 2)

Objective: To evaluate the effect of seeding rate on seedling survivability, yield, and grain quality of small red lentils.

	Treatments:	
Treatment No.	Target plant population (plants ft ²)	Actual seeding rate (Ibs. ac ⁻¹)
1	12	57
2	18	85
3	24	114

Replicates: Four

General Trial I	nformation:	Weath	ner: En	vironment	t Canada
Variety	CDC Proclaim (CL)		100		
Thousand kernel weight	41.34 g		90		
Germination	93%	-	80		
Seed treatment	Vibrance Maxx [®] RFC	mm)	70	/	
Inoculant	XiteBio [®] PulseRhizo	ion	60		
Previous crop	Barley	oitat	40		
Soil organic matter	4.1%	ecip	30		
Residual Nitrate-N (0-6")	19 lbs. ac ⁻¹	PI	20		
Soil type & Texture	Dark Brown Chernozem, heavy clay		10 0 —		
Seeding date	May 18			May	June
Seeding implement & openers	Seedhawk 1 in. openers				
Seeding depth	1 in.				
Seeding speed	4.8 mph				
Row spacing	12 in.				
Total applied fertilizer	7 N – 24 P – 0 K – 6 S lbs	s. ac-1 ac	ctual (S	S10) – See	d-placed
Crop protection	May 16: Aim [®] + Glyphosa June 9: Squadron [®] + Pow	te er2L			

Silencer[®] + Coragen Max[®]

t Canada – Rosetown East



		Results:			
Target plant population (plant ft ²)	Plant density (plants ft²)	Seedling mortality (%)	Yield ⁽¹⁾ (bu ac ⁻¹)	Protein (%)	Seed size (g 1,000 seeds ⁻¹)
12	13.6	2.6	20.3	24.8	40.7
18	19.1	4.9	22.0	24.9	40.9
24	24.7	7.4	23.6	25.1	41.1
SE ⁽²⁾	± 1.2	± 4.5	± 1.5	± 0.8	± 0.7
P-value (3,4)	<0.001***	0.041**	0.008***	0.464	0.484



Increased seeding rate resulted in significantly higher plant populations (P<0.01), even though seedling mortality was also significantly higher at higher seeding rates (P<0.05). Yield increased significantly with seeding rate (P<0.01), but grain quality was not affected. Increasing the seeding rate was economically beneficial at this site.



Visual differences in plant development under different seeding rates.



Weed pressure was visibly lower with very high seeding rate compared to high and standard rates.



Economics:

Seeding rate had a positive effect on yield. The increase in grain profit was greater than the cost of additional seed, thus net profit increased with higher seeding rates.

Target plant population (plant ft ⁻²)	Seeding rate	Seed cost (4)	Yield	Grain profit (5)	Net profit
12	-	-	-	-	\$0.00
18	+ 28 lbs.	- \$14.84	+ 1.7 bu	+ \$35.46	+ \$20.62
24	+ 57 lbs.	- \$30.21	+ 3.3 bu	+ \$68.82	+ \$38.61









Lentil Seeding Rate (Plenty)

Objective: To evaluate the effect of seeding rate on seedling survivability across landscape positions, and on yield and grain quality of small red lentils.

	Treatments:		Replicates: Four
Treatment No.	Target plant population (plants ft ⁻²)	Actual seeding rate (lbs. ac ⁻¹)	
1	12	55	
2	18	82	
3	24	110	
4	Variable Rate (VR)	55 (Depression) 68 (Midslope) 99 (Knoll)	

General Trial I	nformation:	Weat	her: Lo	ocal statio	n for precip) + Enviror	nment Ca
ariety	CDC Maxim (CL)	– Kina	dersley	Airport fo	or temps		
housand kernel weight	41.02 g		100				
Germination	96%		80				
Seed treatment	Vitaflo™	Ê	70				
noculant	Agtiv [®] Fuel [®] Granular		60				
Previous crop	Durum Wheat	ation	50				
Soil organic matter	4.1%	cipit	40				
Residual Nitrate-N (0-6")	9 lbs ac ⁻¹	Prec	30				
Soil type & Texture	Dark Brown Chernozem, heavy clay		20 10				
Seeding date	May 21		0 -	4			
Seeding depth	1 in.			May	June	July	August
Seeding speed	6-8 mph						
Row spacing	10 in.						
Total applied fertilizer	VR: Average 4 N – 20 P +	- 2 Mg a	actual I	bs. ac ⁻¹			
Crop protection	May 19: Glyphosate + Go June 11: Sencor [®] June 16: Solo [®] + Centuric	ldwing® on®+ Ne	xicor®				

Results:

Plant density was assessed separately for depression, midslope, and knoll areas within each of the four treatments to determine if the effect of seeding rate on plant population and seedling mortality differed by landscape position.

	Plant density	Seedling mortality
P-value (Seeding rate) (6)	<0.001***	0.406
P-value (Landscape position)	0.265	0.103
P-value (SR x LP)	0.235	0.162



The effect of seeding rate on plant density was significant (P<0.01) but did not differ significantly by landscape position. Error bars indicate the standard error.

Target plant population (plant ft ⁻²)	Yield ⁽¹⁾ (bu ac ⁻¹)	Protein (%)	Seed size (g 1000 seeds ⁻¹)
12	47.0	24.7	40.9
18	44.1	24.6	41.0
24	41.1	24.4	41.1
SE ⁽²⁾	± 3.2	± 0.6	± 0.6
P-value (3,4)	0.014**	0.419	0.580

An average seeding rate was determined for the VR treatments and included in the yield and grain quality regression analyses.



Summary:

Plant populations increased significantly with seeding rates but seedling mortality was not affected by seeding rate, regardless of landscape position. Yield decreased with increased seeding rates, thus the lowest seeding rate was the most economical. Grain quality was not significantly affected by seeding rate. Yield may also be differentiated by landscape position within treatments using yield monitor data, but this analysis has not been completed at this time.



Economics:

There was a negative effect of seeding rate on yield, thus net profit declined with increased seeding rate.

Target plant population (plant ft ⁻²)	Seeding rate	Seed cost (4)	Yield	Grain profit ⁽⁵⁾	Net profit
12	-	-	-	-	\$0.00
VR	+ 19 lbs. (avg)	- \$10.07	- 2.0 bu (avg)	(- \$41.71)	(- \$31.64)
18	+ 27 lbs.	- \$14.31	- 2.9 bu	(- \$60.48)	(- \$74.79)
24	+ 55 lbs.	- \$29.15	- 5.9 bu	(- \$123.05)	(- \$152.20)



To review footnote references please refer to overall trial summary on page 57.







Lentil Seeding Rate (Rosetown 1)

Objective: To evaluate the effect of seeding rate on seedling survivability across landscape positions, and on yield and grain quality of small red lentils.

Replicates: Four

Temperature (°C)

	Treatments:	
Treatment No.	Target plant population (plants ft ²)	Actual seeding rate (Ibs. ac ⁻¹)
1	12	50
2	18	75
3	24	100
4	Variable Rate (VR)	50 (Depression) 66 (Midslope) 90 (Knoll)

General Trial Ir	nformation:	Weat	ner: L	ocal stati	on for precip	o + Enviror	nment Cano	ada
Variety	CDC Maxim (CL)	– Ros	etow	n East for	temps			
Thousand kernel weight	38.35 g		100					25
Germination	98%		90					20
Seed treatment	Vibrance Maxx®	(m	70		-			20
Inoculant	Liquid Nodulator®	n (n	60	-				15
Previous crop	Malt barley	atio	50					
Soil organic matter	4.3%	cipit	40					10
Soil type & Texture	Brown Chernozem, clay loam	Pre	30 20					5
Seeding date	May 19		10					0
Seeding depth	2 in.		0	May	June	July	August	
Seeding speed	4.6 mph							
Row spacing	12 in.							
Total applied fertilizer	VR: Average 6 N – 14 P –	1 K – C) S (M	IAP + Alp	ine)			
Crop protection	May 19: Goldwing [®] + Glyp June 10: Metribuzin June 15: Coragen [®] Max	hosate						

Results:

Plant density was assessed separately for depression, mid-slope, and knoll areas within each of the four treatments to determine if the effect of seeding rate on plant population and seedling mortality differed by landscape position.

	Plant Density	Seedling Mortality
P-value (Seeding rate) (6)	<0.001***	0.052*
P-value (Landscape position)	0.348	0.411
P-value (SR x LP)	0.382	0.450



Plant density significantly increased with seeding rate (P<0.01), but was not affected by landscape position. Seedling mortality may have increased with seeding rate (P<0.1, not shown), but also was unaffected by landscape position.

Target plant population (plant ft ⁻²)	Yield ⁽¹⁾ (bu ac ⁻¹)	Protein (%)	Seed size (g 1000 seeds ⁻¹)
12	21.9	23.3	37.7
18	22.1	23.4	38.0
24	22.2	23.5	38.3
SE (2)	± 1.9	± 0.6	± 0.4
P-value (3,4)	0.794	0.629	0.030**

An average seeding rate was determined for the VR treatments and included in the yield and grain quality regression analyses.



Summary:

Higher plant populations with increased seeding rates did not have a significant effect on yield. Thus, the lowest seeding rate was the most economical. Higher seeding rates positively affected seed size (P<0.05) but did not result in increased protein content. Yield may also be differentiated by landscape position within seeding rate treatments using yield monitor data, but this analysis has not been completed at this time.

Economics: The effect of seeding rate on yield	Target plant population (plant ft ⁻²)	Seeding rate	Seed cost ⁽⁴⁾	Yield	Grain profit ⁽⁵⁾	Net profit
was not significant, thus the yield difference between treatments	12 VB	- 10 lbs	- \$10.07	-	-	\$0.00 (-\$10.07)
is zero. Net profit declined with	18	+ 25 lbs.	\$13.25	+ 0 bu	+ \$0 + \$0	(-\$13.25)
increased seeding rate.	24	+ 50 lbs.	\$26.50	+ 0 bu	+ \$0	(-\$26.50)



Plant growth across various treatments was observed on July 17.

* To review footnote references please refer to overall trial summary on page 57.







Lentil Seeding Rate (Rosetown 2)

Objective: To evaluate the effect of seeding rate on seedling survivability, yield, and grain quality of small red lentils.

	Treatments:	
Treatment No.	Target plant population (plants ft ²)	Actual seeding rate (Ibs. ac ⁻¹)
1	12	56
2	18	84
3	24	111

Replicates: Four

General Trial In	nformation:	Weather: Enviro
Variety	CDC Maxim (CL)	100
Thousand kernel weight	40.4 g	90
Germination	93%	(F = 50
Seed treatment	Vibrance Maxx [®] RFC	Ĕ 70
Inoculant	N-Row [®]	50 tion
Previous crop	Durum wheat	04 pita
Soil organic matter	5.2%	30
Residual Nitrate-N (0-6")	70 lbs. ac ⁻¹	20
Soil type & Texture	Dark Brown Chernozem, heavy clay	10 0
Seeding date	May 13	N
Seeding implement & openers	Bourgault .75 in. knives	
Seeding depth	1.75 in.	
Seeding speed	4.5 mph	
Row spacing	12 in.	
Total applied fertilizer	4.5 N – 20.3 P actual lbs.	ac-1, Seed-placed
Crop protection	Fall: Fierce [®] May 12: Glyphosate June 17: Antler [®] Unpack	

July 27: Silencer®

Weather: Environment Canada – Rosetown East



Results:						
Target plant population (plant ft ²)	Plant density (plants ft ⁻²)	Seedling mortality (%)	Yield ⁽¹⁾ (bu ac ⁻¹)	Protein (%)	Seed size (g 1000 seeds ⁻¹)	
12	8.7	36.8	18.6	26.4	39.7	
18	12.6	37.3	19.0	25.6	39.3	
24	16.3	37.8	19.4	24.8	39.0	
SE ⁽²⁾	± 1.8	± 8.5	± 1.5	± 4.0	± 1.8	
P-value (3,4)	<0.001***	0.861	0.429	0.544	0.593	



Increased seeding rate resulted in significantly higher plant populations (P<0.01). Seedling mortality was very high overall and did not differ significantly with seeding rate. Dry conditions throughout the growing season resulted in low yield potential. Thus, yield and grain quality were not significantly affected by seeding rate. The lowest seeding rate was the most economical.



Economics:

The effect of seeding rate on yield was not significant, thus the yield difference between treatments is zero. Net profit declined with increased seeding rate.

Target plant population (plant ft ⁻²)	Seeding rate	Seed cost ⁽⁴⁾	Yield	Grain profit ⁽⁵⁾	Net profit
12	-	-	-	-	\$0.00
18	+ 28 lbs.	- \$14.84	+ 0 bu	+ \$0	(- \$14.84)
24	+ 55 lbs.	- \$29.15	+ 0 bu	+ \$0	(- \$29.15)



 (\bigstar) To review footnote references please refer to overall trial summary on page 57.







Lentil Seeding Rate (Shaunavon)

Objective: To evaluate the effect of seeding rate on seedling survivability, yield, and grain quality of small red lentils.

	Treatments:	
Treatment No.	Target plant population (plants ft ⁻²)	Actual seeding rate (Ibs. ac ⁻¹)
1	15	60
2	22	90
3	29	120

Replicates: Four

General Trial In	nformation:	Weat
Variety	CDC Proclaim (CL)	
Thousand kernel weight	38.75 g	
Germination	100%	Ê
Seed treatment	Vibrance Maxx®	Ē
Inoculant	LALFIX®	ition
Previous crop	Barley	ipita
Soil organic matter	3.4%	rec
Residual Nitrate-N (0-6")	13 lbs. ac ⁻¹	
Soil type & Texture	Dark Brown Chernozem, clay loam	
Seeding date	June 2	
Seeding implement & openers	Bourgault 0.75 in.	
Seeding depth	1 in.	
Seeding speed	4.9 mph	
Row spacing	10 in.	
Total applied fertilizer	9.5 N – 24 P – 0 K – 11 S	+ 0.3 I
0	May 19: Focus®	

June 28: Coragen Max®

Weather: Environment Canada – Eastend Cypress

B lbs. ac⁻¹ actual – Seed-placed



Crop protection

Results:						
Target plant population (plant ft ²)	Plant density (plants ft ⁻²)	Seedling mortality (%)	Yield ⁽¹⁾ (bu ac ⁻¹)	Protein (%)	Seed size (g 1000 seeds ⁻¹)	
15	15.6	20.3	8.2	24.7	39.8	
22	14.8	38.6	7.0	24.7	39.9	
29	14.0	56.8	5.8	24.6	39.9	
SE ⁽²⁾	± 5.3	± 13.9	± 1.2	± 0.8	± 0.7	
P-value (3,4)	0.644	0.002***	0.003***	0.897	0.787	



Increased seeding rate did not significantly affect plant populations, as seedling mortality was significantly higher with increased seeding rate (P<0.01). Heavy weed pressure as well as hot and dry growing conditions at this site resulted in low yields overall. Yield declined significantly with increased seeding rate (P<0.001) but grain quality was not affected. The lowest seeding rate was the most economical.



Economics:

Seeding rate had a significant negative effect on yield and so higher seeding rates resulted in a loss in grain profit. Net profit declined with increased seeding rate.

Target plant population (plant ft ⁻²)	Seeding rate	Seed cost ⁽⁴⁾	Yield	Grain profit (5)	Net profit
15	-	-	-	-	\$0.00
22	+ 30 lbs.	- \$15.90	- 1.2 bu	(- \$25.03)	(- \$40.93)
29	+ 60 lbs.	- \$31.80	- 2.4 bu	(- \$50.05)	(- \$81.85)



 (\bigstar) To review footnote references please refer to overall trial summary on page 57.







Lentil Seeding Rate (Stranraer)

Objective: To evaluate the effect of seeding rate on seedling survivability, yield, and grain quality of small red lentils.

	Treatments:	
Treatment No.	Target plant population (plants ft ²)	Actual seeding rate (lbs. ac ⁻¹)
1	12	62
2	18	93
3	24	123

Replicates: Four

General Trial II	nformation:	Weath	ner: En	vironmen	t Canada	stat
Variety	CDC Impulse (CL)		100			
Thousand kernel weight	47.62 g		90			
Germination	99%	(e	80			
Seed treatment	Trilex [®] Evergol [®]	Ē.	60	_		
Inoculant	Cell-Tech [®] Liquid	tion	50			
Previous crop	Spring wheat	ipita	40			
Soil organic matter	3.1%	reci	30			
Residual Nitrate-N (0-6")	10 lbs. ac ⁻¹		20			
Soil type & Texture	Dark Brown Chernozem, heavy clay		10 0 —			
Seeding date	May 9			May	June	
Seeding implement & openers	Pillar disc drill, disc style opener					
Seeding depth	1 in.					
Row spacing	10 in.					
Total applied fertilizer	10 N – 3 P actual lbs. ac-1	- Seed	-placed	ł		
Crop protection	9.5 N – 24 P – 0 K – 11 S	+ 0.3 B	lbs. ac	⁻¹ actual –	Seed-pla	ced
Crop protection	April 27: Edge [®] June 13: Squadron [®] June 19: Centurion [®]					

June 23: Labamba®

a station – Kindersley airport

July

25

20

15

10

5

0

August

Temperature (°C)

Results:						
Target plant population (plant ft ²)	Plant density (plants ft²)	Seedling mortality (%)	Yield ⁽¹⁾ (bu ac ⁻¹)	Protein (%)	Seed size (g 1000 seeds ⁻¹)	
12	13.8	6.1	28.5	23.8	50.5	
18	14.5	24.6	28.2	24.0	50.5	
24	15.3	42.6	27.8	24.3	50.6	
SE ⁽²⁾	± 3.6	± 14.7	± 4.4	± 0.8	± 1.0	
P-value (3,4)	0.526	0.005***	0.789	0.342	0.833	



Plant populations did not differ significantly between seeding rates, and seedling mortality was significantly higher at higher seeding rates (P<0.01). Yield and grain quality did not differ significantly with seeding rates, but this could be a result of increased seedling mortality and lower than targeted plant populations with higher seeding rates. Thus, the lowest seeding rate was the most economical.



Economics:

The effect of seeding rate on yield was not significant, thus the yield difference between treatments is zero. Net profit declined with increased seeding rate.

Target plant population (plant ft ⁻²)	Seeding rate	Seed cost (4)	Yield	Grain profit ⁽⁵⁾	Net profit
12	-	-	-	-	\$0.00
18	+ 31 lbs.	- \$16.43	+ 0 bu	+ \$0	(- \$16.43)
24	+ 61 lbs.	- \$32.33	+ 0 bu	+ \$0	(- \$32.33)



 (\bigstar) To review footnote references please refer to overall trial summary on page 57.







Lentil Seeding Rate (Vibank)

Objective: To evaluate the effect of seeding rate on seedling survivability, yield, and grain quality of French green lentils.

	Treatments:	
Treatment No.	Target plant population (plants ft ⁻²)	Actual seeding rate (Ibs. ac ⁻¹)
1	12	46
2	24	87

General Trial Information: Variety CDC Plato (French greens) Thousand kernel weight 31.6 g Germination 92% Seed treatment Vibrance Maxx[®] RFC Inoculant AGTIV[®] Fuel[™] Liquid **Previous crop** Spring wheat 3.5% Soil organic matter **Residual Nitrate-N (0-6")** 8 lbs. ac⁻¹ Dark Brown Chernozem, Soil type & Texture loam Seeding date May 10 Seeding implement & Morris Paired Row openers Seeding depth 1.5 in. Seeding speed 4.3 mph Row spacing 10 in.

4 N - 21 P - 21 K - 40 S lbs. ac⁻¹ actual

May 9: Glyphosate (Valtera[™] EZ in fall)

June 6: Solo[®] ADV + Quizalofop

July 16: Coragen[®]

Elatus®

Weather: In-field precip + Environment Canada temps

Replicates: Four



Total applied fertilizer

Crop protection

Results:								
Target plant population (plant ft ⁻²)	Plant density (plants ft ⁻²)	Seedling mortality (%)	Yield ⁽¹⁾ (bu ac ⁻¹)	Protein (%)	Seed size (g 1000 seeds ⁻¹)			
12	15.4	0.0	39.8	22.7	38.2			
24	25.5	4.0	38.5	22.6	38.6			
SE ⁽²⁾	± 1.3	± 2.6	± 2.1	± 0.2	± 0.4			
P-value (3,4)	0.001 ***	0.067*	0.650	0.326	0.158			



Increased seeding rate resulted in significantly higher plant populations (P<0.01). Plant populations were higher than targeted, so estimated seedling mortality was low. The trial area was affected by root rot early in the season but visibly appeared to recover as the season progressed. Yield, protein, and seed size were not significantly affected by seeding rate, so the lowest seeding rate was the most economical.



Economics:

The effect of seeding rate on yield was not significant, thus the yield difference between treatments is zero. Net profit declined with increased seeding rate.

Target plant population (plant ft ⁻²)	Seeding rate	Seed cost ⁽⁴⁾	Yield	Grain profit ⁽⁵⁾	Net profit
12	-	-	-	-	\$0.00
24	+ 41 lbs.	\$29.52	+ 0 bu	+ \$0	(- \$29.52)

Visual differences in plant emergence with different seeding rates.



 (\bigstar) To review footnote references please refer to overall trial summary on page 57.



